

KNOWLEDGE OF THE EFFECT EXERTED BY THE SYSTEM USED IN LAYING HYBRIDS HUSBANDRY ON EGGS QUALITY

M.G. Usturoi, R.M. Radu-Rusu, Al. Usturoi

University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Animal Science Faculty-Iasi, Romania
e-mail: umg@uaiasi.ro

Abstract

Eggs classifying on the hens husbandry system criterion generated the false suspicion that those issued from hens accommodated in halls with battery cages would present high “toxic” potential. The experiments focused on the chemical and microbiological features assessment of the eggs produced by hens reared within different systems (L_c =controlled environment hall, in BP-3 batteries; L_{1exp} =controlled environment hall, in BP-3 batteries with enlarged cages; L_{2exp} =controlled environment hall, on permanent litter; L_{3exp} =permanent litter, in a hall opened toward an external paddock). Yolk proteins reached 2.61g/egg in L_c group, respectively 2.62-2.73g/egg in the experimental treatments, while the lipids were found at different levels, meaning 6.27g/egg in L_c and 6.28-6.42g/egg in the other groups. Albumen proteins were assessed at 3.48g/egg in L_c group, respectively at 3.49-3.63g/egg in L_{1exp} - L_{3exp} groups. Lowest germs content on the eggshell was found at the eggs produced by the hens reared in batteries, in controlled environment halls (127.01 germs/cm² in L_{1exp} group and 132.65 germs/cm² in L_c group), which meant 54.92-76.92% lower, respectively 48.33-69.40% less than the values found at the other husbandry versions. Therefore, the main research conclusion states that husbandry system does not influence the eggs chemical composition but substantially modify the eggshell microbial contamination degree, leading to the risk of an exponential multiplication of germs during eggs storage.

Key words: hens, system, husbandry, eggs, quality

INTRODUCTION

Usually, the classifying of eggs designed for human consumption is done in accordance with their weight group or freshness status. However, during the last period, a new classifying method has been introduced and it is related to the husbandry technology applied in laying hens rearing.

Although this method provides supplemental information to the customers [1], it brought an unfavourable attitude against the super intensive laying hens husbandry, which uses conventional cages. Thus, there is a misconception that conventional technology produces “dead” eggs, which contain high amounts of “stress hormones” and “toxic substances”.

Therefore, this paper emphasises on certain results related to the quality of the

eggs laid by hens reared in different husbandry systems [4].

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The biological material included 4266 hens - “Lohmann Brown” hybrid, divided in 4 experimental groups, which received different conditions of technology and specific endorsements (*tab. 1*).

In control group hens (L_c) the principles of the super intensive husbandry system have been used, meaning accommodation in conventional battery cages-B.P.-3 type, providing a density of 4 hens/cage of 2000 cm² (500 cm² cage floor/hen).

In L_{1exp} group, the same battery was used (B.P.-3) and the accommodation surface has been enlarged, which meant 1 hen/1000 cm², 6 hens/cage of 6000 cm².

Table 1. Experimental design

Notice	Experimental group			
	Lc	L ₁ exp	L ₂ exp	L ₃ exp
Husbandry technology	In conventional battery cages	In enlarged battery cages	On permanent litter	On permanent litter, with access to external paddock
Accommodation facilities	<u>Conventional cage</u> -surface=2000 cm ² -size: L=0.4m; w=0,5m	<u>Modified cages</u> -surface: 2000 cm ² -size: L=1.2m; w=0.5m	<u>Hall</u> -surface: 252 m ² -size: L=25.2m; l=10m	<u>Hall</u> -surface: 252 m ² -size: L=25.2m; w=10m <u>External paddock</u> -surface: 3780 m ² -size: L=60m; l=63m
Brooding flock	432 hens	432 hens	1512 hens	1890 hens
Brooding density	4 hens/cage of 2000 cm ²	6 hens//cage of 6000 cm ²	6 hens/m ² hall	- 7.5 hens/m ² hall - 0.5 hens/m ² paddock
Provided surface/ Hen	500 cm ²	1000 cm ²	0,17m ²	In hall: 0,13 m ² In paddock: 2,0 m ²

The fowl in the L₂exp group were reared within the intensive system, in a hall whom floor was covered with permanent litter, at a density of 6 hens/m². The inner endorsements comprised feeders and water devices, alternatively disposed and double levelled nests, deployed across the walls.

The hens in the L₃exp group have been accommodated within the semi-intensive system, which meant a hall with permanent litter (7.5 hens/m² hall) and unrestricted access to an external paddock (0.5 hens/m² paddock), though 4 doors. Feeders and water devices have been deployed both in hall and paddock, under a canopy.

Certain traits of chemical and microbiological quality have been assessed for the eggs harvested during the 4 main moments of the laying curve (onset–20th week; peak–28th week; plateau–37th week and laying ceasing–80th week), in accordance to the conventional analysis methods:

- ✓ water content-oven drying, at +105⁰C;
- ✓ proteins content-Kjeldahl method;
- ✓ lipids content-Soxhlet method;
- ✓ minerals content-calcinations at +550⁰C.
- ✓ amount of germs on eggshell (N.T.G.)-serial dilutions method.

The experimental data were statistically processed, and the significance of the differences was analysed, using ANOVA method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Yolk chemical composition.

Quantitatively, the yolk of a hen egg (60 g) contains: 8.7-10.0 g dry matter; 2.7-3.2 g proteins; 6.0-6.8 g lipids, traces of carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals [2]. The acquired data revealed lower *dry matter content* in the yolk during laying onset (fowl aged 20 weeks), being comprised between 9.03±0.380 g (Lc group) and 9.13±0.370 g (L₃exp group), then slightly increased during laying peak (28th week), varying from 9.44±0.302g (Lc group) till 9.50±0.410 g (L₃exp group). Dry matter from yolk continuously increased, although not significant, to reach 9.88±0.486g in Lc, 9.90±0.542 g in L₁exp, 9.96±0.480 g in L₂exp and 9.99±0.500 g in L₃exp when laying ceased (80th week). The differences between groups were not statistically significant. The studied trait had an average variability because the specific coefficient oscillated between 10.10-17.30% (tab. 2).

Table 2. Yolk dry matter content

Laying moment	Statistical estimators (n=10)	Experimental groups			
		Lc	L ₁ exp	L ₂ exp	L ₃ exp
Onset (20 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	9.03±0.380	9.05±0.258	9.08 ± 0.36	9.13 ± 0.37
	V%	14.96	10.14	13.98	14.69
Peak (28 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	9.44±0.302	9.45±0.403	9.48 ± 0.36	9.50 ± 0.41
	V%	10.10	13.47	12.13	13.74
Plateau (37 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	9.54±0.459	9.55±0.345	9.59 ± 0.45	9.62 ± 0.46
	V%	15.12	11.42	14.91	15.21
Ceasing (80 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	9.88±0.486	9.90±0.542	9.96 ± 0.48	9.99 ± 0.50
	V%	15.61	17.30	15.16	15.99

Yolk proteins content did not significantly vary between groups, in any of the 4 control moments. In control group, yolk proteins content varied between 2.45±0.034 g (onset) and 2.80±0.053 g (ceasing). At the eggs taken from L₁exp group, the oscillation limits for proteins were of 2.47±0.033 g (onset), respectively of 2.81±0.033 g

(ending); in L₂exp eggs, proteins varied from 2.49±0.027 g (onset) till 2.84±0.047 g (ceasing), while in L₃exp group, the same parameter was found between 2.58±0.024 g (onset) and 2.92±0.042 g (laying ceasing). The variability coefficient did not pass over 10% in any situation, which suggest good uniformity for the studied trait (tab. 3).

Table 3. Yolk proteins content

Laying moment	Statistical estimators (n=10)	Experimental groups			
		Lc	L ₁ exp	L ₂ exp	L ₃ exp
Onset (20 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	2.45±0.034	2.47±0.033	2.49±0.027	2.58±0.024
	V%	7.31	7.12	5.89	5.13
Peak (28 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	2.54±0.042	2.56±0.027	2.59±0.025	2.64±0.024
	V%	8.38	5.40	5.02	4.74
Plateau (37 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	2.64±0.041	2.65±0.031	2.68±0.032	2.76±0.032
	V%	7.82	5.88	6.11	5.88
Ceasing (80 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	2.80±0.053	2.81±0.033	2.84±0.047	2.92±0.042
	V%	9.38	5.78	8.14	7.07

Yolk lipids content did not show wide oscillations within the experimental groups, the fact being also confirmed by the lack of statistical significant differences (tab. 4).

Table 4. Yolk lipids content

Laying moment	Statistical estimators (n=10)	Experimental groups			
		Lc	L ₁ exp	L ₂ exp	L ₃ exp
Onset (20 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	5.93±0.079	5.95±0.053	5.99±0.068	6.07±0.069
	V%	8.44	5.71	7.33	7.42
Peak (28 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	6.18±0.077	6.19±0.091	6.24±0.062	6.31±0.063
	V%	7.65	9.06	6.25	6.30
Plateau (37 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	6.30±0.073	6.31±0.087	6.38±0.091	6.44±0.095
	V%	7.04	8.31	8.79	9.14
Ceasing (80 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	6.66±0.106	6.68±0.096	6.72±0.093	6.85±0.094
	V%	9.14	8.30	8.11	8.14

Thus, during laying onset (20th week), yolk lipids content reached 5.93±0.079 g in Lc group, 5.95±0.053 g in L₁exp group, 5.99±0.068 g in L₂exp and 6.07±0.069 g in

L₃exp group. Lipids level in yolk increased as fowl turned old. Thus, during last control moment (80th week), lipids quantities were of 6.66±0.106 g in Lc, 6.68±0.096 g in L₁exp, of 6.72±0.093 g in L₂exp respectively of 6.85±0.094 g in L₃exp. The studied character was homogeneous, knowing that variation coefficient values were found under 10%, in all analysed situations (V%=5.71-9.14).

2. Albumen chemical composition. The albumen of a hen egg weighting 60 g contains 3.8-4.5 g dry matter, 3.3-4.0 g proteins, traces of lipids and minerals [2].

Dry matter content in albumen increased as eggs became heavier. Thus, at the eggs

issued from young hens (20 weeks old), albumen dry matter content reached 3.84±0.231 g in Lc group, 3.85±0.173 g in L₁exp group, 3.90±0.220 g in L₂exp group and 3.98±0.210 g in L₃exp group, while the eggs laid when laying approached its ceasing (80th week), had and albumen dry matter content of 4.24±0.166 g in Lc, of 4.26±0.164 g in L₁exp, of 4.32±0.190 g in L₂exp group, respectively of 4.39±0.170 g in L₃exp. The comparison of the results issued from each 4 controls did not reveal differences with statistical significance. Average variability occurred, knowing that variation coefficient oscillated between 12.70-17.82% (tab. 5).

Table 5. Albumen dry matter content

Laying moment	Statistical estimators (n=10)	Experimental groups			
		Lc	L ₁ exp	L ₂ exp	L ₃ exp
Onset (20 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	3.84±0.231	3.85±0.173	3.90 ± 0.22	3.98 ± 0.21
	V%	16.93	12.70	16.39	15.81
Peak (28 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	3.93±0.184	3.95±0.181	4.01 ± 0.19	4.08 ± 0.18
	V%	14.12	13.96	15.52	14.52
Plateau (37 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	3.95±0.203	3.96±0.219	4.02 ± 0.18	4.11 ± 0.17
	V%	16.28	17.54	14.21	13.30
Ceasing (80 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	4.24±0.166	4.26±0.164	4.32 ± 0.19	4.39 ± 0.17
	V%	15.92	15.87	17.82	16.13

Albumen proteins content preserved relatively constant levels across the productive life of the hens. Statistical significance did not occur in any control stage. During laying onset, proteins quantity from albumen oscillated between 3.44 g (Lc, L₁exp) and 3.49±0.008 g (L₃exp). During laying peak, values varied from 3.46±0.013 g (Lc) and 3.60±0.009 g (L₃exp). Proteins were

found between 3.49±0.011 g (Lc) and 3.67±0.009 g (L₃exp) during plateau period, while the eggs produced at laying ceasing had proteins contents comprised within the 3.52±0.011 g (Lc) – 3.74±0.0011 g (L₃exp) interval. The studied trait proved to be homogeneous, because variation coefficient did not pass the 10% limit, in any situation (V%=6.13-9.13) (tab. 6).

Table 6. Albumen proteins content

Laying moment	Statistical estimators (n=10)	Experimental groups			
		Lc	L ₁ exp	L ₂ exp	L ₃ exp
Onset (20 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	3.44±0.012	3.44±0.009	3.45±0.009	3.49±0.008
	V%	8.72	6.89	7.42	6.30
Peak (28 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	3.46±0.013	3.47±0.009	3.52±0.009	3.60±0.009
	V%	9.13	6.21	6.49	6.60
Plateau (37 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	3.49±0.011	3.50±0.011	3.59±0.008	3.67±0.009
	V%	7.87	8.21	6.13	6.15
Ceasing (80 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	3.52±0.011	3.53±0.012	3.62±0.012	3.74±0.011
	V%	7.07	8.29	8.18	7.21

3. Shell chemical composition. Mineral substances could be found in eggshell up to 95%, the difference being represented by organic matters (4,4%) and water [2].

During laying onset (20th week), shell minerals were found at various levels:

5.27±0.303 g in control group, 5.28±0.254 g in L₁exp, 5.35±0.200 in L₂exp and 5.58±0.190 g in L₃exp. Statistically significant differences were recorded between L₃exp and Lc, L₁exp groups (tab. 7).

Table 7. Minerals content of the shell

Laying moment	Statistical estimators (n=10)	Experimental groups			
		Lc	L ₁ exp	L ₂ exp	L ₃ exp
Onset (20 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	5.27±0.303 ^a	5.28±0.254 ^a	5.35±0.20	5.58±0.19 ^b
	V%	18.20	15.21	12.41	11.69
Peak (28 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	6.27±0.321 ^a	6.28±0.285 ^a	6.31±0.21	6.73±0.19 ^b
	V%	16.19	14.39	10.73	10.74
Plateau (37 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	6.37±0.307 ^a	6.38±0.377 ^a	6.50±0.30	7.04±0.26 ^b
	V%	15.44	18.97	12.39	10.78
Ceasing (80 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (g)	6.55±0.251 ^a	6.55±0.338 ^a	6.71±0.38	7.85±0.30 ^b
	V%	12.11	16.33	14.51	11.35

Statistical significance - ANOVA method: superscripts in the same row no superscript = not significant differences between means

^{ab} = significant differences, $\hat{F} > F_{\alpha}(0.05)$ at 1;18 FD.

Mineral content of the eggshell, during production peak (28th week) reached 6.27±0.321 g in Lc, 6.28±0.285 g in L₁exp, 6.31±0.210 g in L₂exp and 6.73±0.019 g in L₃exp; statistically significance occurring between groups L₃exp and Lc, L₁exp. During laying plateau eggshell minerals were assessed at: 6.37±0.307 g in Lc; 6.38±0.377 g in L₁exp; 6.50±0.030 g in L₂exp and 7.04±0.260 g in L₃exp. The same significance degree was found between L₃exp and Lc, L₁exp groups. During the 80th week of hens life (laying ceasing) highest contents of minerals in shell were recorded, the differences being statistically significant between L₃exp (7.85±0.300 g) and Lc (6.55±0.251 g) and L₁exp (6.55±0.338 g) groups; in L₂exp, shell minerals reached 6.71±0.380 g.

The studied trait presented average to high variability (V%=10.73-18.97).

4. Eggshell microbial load. The spaces designed for fowl husbandry are an appropriate environment for microorganisms development, due to high air temperature and moisture, as well as to the presence of a permanent nutritional substrate (fermented

feed, depreciated litter, faeces); certain part of the germs passes on the eggshell, especially when they are not regularly harvested. At the eggs produced during laying onset there were identified 112.78±3.90 germs/cm² shell in Lc, 106.31±3.42 germs/cm² shell in L₁exp, 152.24±5.43 germs/cm² in L₂exp, respectively 169.39±6.12 germs/cm² in L₃exp. Statistically, when Lc, L₁exp groups were compared to L₂exp and L₃exp groups, the differences were found highly significant (tab. 8).

Very significant statistical significance occurred between Lc and L₂exp, L₃exp groups, respectively between L₁exp and L₂exp, L₃exp groups. They occurred during peak, plateau and mostly when laying ceased. At that latter moment, highest values of microbial load on shell were found: 152.61±4.96 germs/cm² in Lc; 146.61±4.98 germs/cm² in L₁exp; 245.37±10.48 germs/cm² in L₂exp and 289.37±10.48 germs/cm² in L₃exp. The studied trait was heterogeneous, the specific coefficient indicating average toward high variability (V%=13.72-19.85).

Table 8. Germs load on the eggshell

Laying moment	Statistical estimators (n=10)	Experimental groups			
		Lc	L ₁ exp	L ₂ exp	L ₃ exp
Onset (20 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (germs/cm ²)	112.78±3.90 ^a	106.31±3.42 ^a	152.24±5.43 ^d	169.39±6.12 ^d
	V%	18.98	17.62	17.29	19.80
Peak (28 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (germs/cm ²)	125.96±3.72 ^a	120.14±3.37 ^a	171.87±5.05 ^d	198.11±7.72 ^d
	V%	16.19	15.38	13.72	19.36
Plateau (37 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (germs/cm ²)	139.23±4.66 ^a	134.98±4.44 ^a	217.56±7.38 ^d	241.95±9.04 ^d
	V%	18.35	18.03	16.55	18.48
Ceasing (80 th week)	$\bar{X} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$ (germs/cm ²)	152.61±4.96 ^a	146.61±4.98 ^a	245.37±10.48 ^d	289.37±10.48 ^d
	V%	17.80	18.62	19.85	19.85

Statistical significance - ANOVA method: superscripts in the same row:

no superscript = not significant differences between means

^{ad} = highly significant differences, $\hat{F} > F_{\alpha}(0.001)$ at 1;18 FD.

CONCLUSIONS

The acquired data proved that fowl accommodation within the conditions provided by the alternative husbandry systems led to an improvement of the eggs chemical composition. However, the change was not significant, compared to the situation of the eggs laid by hens reared in conventional cages, except for the eggshell minerals content. Moreover, the intensive (L₂exp) and especially the semi-intensive husbandry version (L₃exp) induced an worrying increase of germs amount on shell surface, which meant 48.3-76.9% more than the concentration found on the eggs issued from conventional cages version (Lc group).

Consequently, it is recommended to accommodate the laying hens in cage batteries, meaning in conventional ones at the moment (they will be banned from 2010), then in other cages that will be accepted by the welfare regulations (modified, improved, ecologic cages etc) [3].

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The results in this paper have been achieved grace to the financial support of National University Research Council (CNCSIS), granted through the PNCDI II-Ideii 681 (350/2007) research project.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nicol, C.J. and all: The welfare of laying hens in four different housing systems in the UK. World's Poultry Sciences Journal "8th European Symposium on Poultry Welfare" Book of Abstracts, 2009, 65/6, 12.
- [2] Sauveur, B.: Variations initiales de la composition de l'oeuf. TEC et Doc Lavoisier Ed., Paris, 1994, chap. 1, 70-83.
- [3] Tserveni-Goussi, and all: Safety of Free Range Chicken Eggs. World's Poultry Sciences Journal "1th Mediterranean Summit" Book of Abstracts, 2008, 64/1, 24.
- [4] Usturoi, M.G. and all: Studies concerning the influence of the alternative rearing systems with horizontal disposing onto the egg yield of the specialized laying hybrids. World's Poultry Sciences Journal "1th Mediterranean Summit" Book of Abstracts, 2008, 64/1, 83.